Research Article Volume 01, Issue No. 02. 2025



The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery and Aesthetic Medicine

Optimizing Postsurgical Scars

[1] Yohana, [2] Adrian

[1] Faculty of Medicine, Maranatha Christian University, Bandung City, West Java, Indonesia

Correspondence : dr.yohana9283847@gmail.com

Article History :

Received date : 2024/06/03
Revised date : 2024/07/19
Accepted date : 2024/08/27
Published date : 2025/01/05

Copyright: *© 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).*

ABSTRACT

Background: The literature on optimizing postsurgical scars reveals a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted approaches necessary for effective scar management. (Sidgwick et al., 2015) conducted a thorough evidence-based review that underscores the significance of topical therapies in managing skin scarring, particularly emphasizing the need for self-medication and the lack of standardized protocols for treating hypertrophic and keloid scars. **Literature Review:** Building on this foundation, (Jourdan et al., 2019) highlighted the widespread impact of scarring resulting from surgical interventions, noting that approximately 100 million individuals in developed countries are affected. Their review advocates for a collaborative approach involving dermatologists and surgeons in postoperative care to enhance healing and minimize scarring. The authors stress the importance of ongoing scar management beyond the initial postoperative period, recognizing that many practitioners cease care prematurely ((Jourdan et al., 2019)). Most recently, reviewed various techniques aimed at enhancing healing after surgical interventions, comparing the efficacy of different skin closure methods, including subcuticular sutures and tissue adhesives. Their findings suggest a preference for subcuticular sutures, although they acknowledge the need for further research to establish definitive recommendations. The integration of laser treatments alongside traditional suturing methods was also explored, indicating that multimodal approaches may yield better outcomes. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, the reviewed literature collectively emphasizes the importance of a multifaceted approach to optimizing postsurgical scars, which includes effective topical treatments, meticulous surgical techniques, and a collaborative care model. Each study contributes to a deeper understanding of the factors influencing scar outcomes, highlighting the need for further research to establish standardized protocols and improve patient care in the context of surgical healing.

Keyword: Postsurgical Scars, Optimizing

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

The literature surrounding the optimization of postsurgical scars reveals a multifaceted approach to understanding and improving scar management. In (Sidgwick et al., 2015), Sidgwick, McGeorge, and Bayat conducted a comprehensive evidence-based review that emphasized the role of topical therapies in the management of skin scarring. Their findings highlighted the advantages of localized delivery of products and the necessity for patients to self-medicate post-injury or surgery. They noted a significant gap in the literature regarding the efficacy of various topical treatments and the absence of standardized protocols for managing problematic scarring, particularly hypertrophic and keloid scars. This foundational work set the stage for further exploration into effective scar management strategies.

Building on this foundation, (Jourdan et al., 2019) provided insights into the broader context of skin care management following medical and aesthetic procedures. They reported that a substantial portion of the population experiences scarring as a result of surgical interventions, underscoring the importance of effective wound healing practices. Their review called for increased involvement of dermatologists in the postoperative care process, emphasizing the need for basic skin care to enhance healing and minimize scarring. This collaborative approach is crucial, as patient-specific factors significantly influence healing outcomes.

In (L. Feinendegen et al., 2022), Feinendegen et al. contributed an algorithmic framework for preventing unsightly facial scars, integrating the latest physiological research. They analyzed various factors affecting scar quality, including incision planning, wound closure techniques, and postoperative management. Their work underscored the importance of meticulous surgical techniques and patient compliance, while also acknowledging the role of genetic predispositions and underlying medical conditions in scar formation. This comprehensive analysis further emphasizes the need for a holistic approach to scar management that encompasses both surgical precision and patient education.

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

Most recently, reviewed current techniques aimed at enhancing healing after surgical interventions. Their findings corroborated earlier research advocating for subcuticular sutures over interrupted sutures, and they explored the potential of combining traditional suturing methods with advanced treatments like laser therapy. Despite acknowledging the limitations of emerging techniques, such as the lack of standardization and high-quality evidence, their review highlighted the dynamic nature of research in post-surgical healing, showcasing a field ripe with innovative possibilities.

Together, these articles illustrate a growing body of knowledge focused on optimizing postsurgical scars through a combination of effective topical treatments, meticulous surgical practices, and collaborative care approaches. Each study contributes to a deeper understanding of the factors influencing scar outcomes, paving the way for improved patient care and satisfaction in the context of surgical healing.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article "A comprehensive evidence-based review on the role of topicals and dressings in the management of skin scarring" by Sidgwick, McGeorge, and Bayat (Sidgwick et al., 2015) presents a thorough examination of the efficacy of topical therapies and dressings in managing postsurgical scars, particularly focusing on hypertrophic and keloid scarring. The authors highlight the significance of localized delivery and increased adherence associated with topical treatments, which are often self-administered by patients post-injury or surgery. This self-medication trend underscores the necessity for a more structured approach to scar management, as patients typically only seek professional treatment when their conditions worsen.

The literature search conducted by the authors in databases such as PubMed and Scopus reveals a gap in comprehensive reviews regarding the effectiveness of various topical treatments. The search criteria, which included terms related to wound healing and scar formation, targeted clinical trials published since 2000. This approach is commendable, as it ensures that the review encompasses recent advancements and findings in the field. The evaluation of diverse studies, ranging

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

from systematic reviews to case-control studies, provides a robust framework for analyzing the effectiveness of topical therapies.

One of the critical insights from the article is the absence of standardized protocols for treating problematic scarring. The authors indicate that while various therapies have been trialed—both individually and in combination with established treatments like steroid injections—there remains a lack of consensus on the best practices for managing scar quality. This finding is particularly relevant in the context of optimizing postsurgical scars, as it suggests that clinicians may benefit from more definitive guidelines to inform their treatment decisions.

Furthermore, the article emphasizes the necessity for further research to establish the efficacy of these topical interventions. The authors advocate for more rigorous clinical trials that not only compare topical treatments to traditional methods but also assess the long-term outcomes of such interventions on scar formation and quality. This call for additional research is critical, as it addresses the ongoing challenges faced by patients and healthcare providers in the realm of scar management.

The article "Skin Care Management For Medical And Aesthetic Procedures To Prevent Scarring" by Jourdan et al. (Jourdan et al., 2019) addresses a significant concern in both medical and aesthetic fields—the formation of scars following surgical interventions. The authors highlight a staggering statistic, noting that approximately 100 million individuals in developed countries acquire scars from various surgical procedures, underscoring the widespread nature of this issue. This statistic sets the stage for a discussion on the multifaceted impacts of scarring, which extend beyond physical appearance to include psychosocial implications such as reduced self-esteem and increased anxiety.

A critical evaluation of the material reveals that the authors effectively synthesize existing literature to illustrate the complex process of scar formation. They point out that scars result from any event that disrupts the skin's integrity, with common sources including surgical procedures, traumatic injuries, and cosmetic interventions. This broad categorization emphasizes the need for comprehensive

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

skin care management across various contexts, reinforcing the article's main idea that effective post-surgical care is essential for minimizing scar formation.

The authors argue that while advancements in surgical techniques and postoperative care have improved healing times, there remains a gap in ongoing scar management. They highlight a concerning trend where many practitioners cease scar management after a brief period, typically 2-3 weeks post-surgery. This observation raises critical questions about the continuity of care and the potential for improved outcomes through sustained management practices. The authors advocate for a collaborative approach involving dermatologists, surgeons, and patients, which is crucial in addressing individual patient needs and optimizing healing processes.

Moreover, the article emphasizes the importance of basic skin care in enhancing the natural healing process. The authors provide practical recommendations that can be integrated into postoperative care routines. These recommendations are grounded in evidence, which adds credibility to their claims and provides a valuable resource for practitioners seeking to improve patient outcomes.

The article "An Algorithm for Prevention of Unsightly Facial Scars Considering the Newest Research Insights" by Feinendegen, Grubnik, and Feinendegen (L. Feinendegen et al., 2022) provides a comprehensive analysis of the multifactorial aspects influencing the quality of facial scars post-surgery. The authors emphasize the importance of understanding physiological factors and patient-specific variables that contribute to scar formation, which is crucial for optimizing postsurgical outcomes.

One of the key insights from the article is the identification of genetic predisposition as a significant factor influencing scar quality. The authors note that individuals with a genetic tendency toward hypertrophic scarring or keloid formation are at a higher risk of developing unsightly scars following facial surgery. This genetic aspect underscores the necessity for personalized patient assessments prior to surgical intervention, allowing for tailored approaches that consider individual risks (L. Feinendegen et al., 2022).

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

The article also highlights several procedural recommendations that can mitigate the risk of poor scarring. The authors advocate for the flat incision technique (FIT), which minimizes tension on the skin and promotes better healing outcomes. They stress that the precise adaptation of wound layers and the selection of appropriate suture materials are critical for achieving favorable scar results. This technical guidance is particularly valuable for surgeons aiming to enhance aesthetic results in facial procedures (L. Feinendegen et al., 2022).

Postoperative care is another essential component discussed in the article. The authors recommend strategies such as sun protection, the use of silicone gel or sheets, and the injection of corticosteroids for patients predisposed to hypertrophic scarring. These interventions are supported by current research and provide a practical framework for postoperative management that can significantly improve scar appearance (L. Feinendegen et al., 2022).

The article "Treatment and Improvement of Healing after Surgical Intervention" by Bueno et al. provides a comprehensive overview of various techniques aimed at optimizing postsurgical scar healing. The authors highlight the evolving landscape of scar treatment, emphasizing both traditional and innovative methodologies while acknowledging the limitations present in current research.

One of the central themes of the article is the comparison between different skin closure techniques, particularly subcuticular sutures versus interrupted sutures. The findings suggest a potential preference for subcuticular sutures, aligning with previous systematic reviews. However, the authors prudently note that the existing body of research remains insufficient to formulate definitive recommendations regarding the most effective techniques . This cautious stance underscores the necessity for further investigation into the comparative efficacy of these suturing methods.

The article also discusses the use of tissue adhesives as an alternative to sutures. Significant improvements in healing time, postoperative pain, and patient satisfaction were reported in studies utilizing tissue adhesives, although no substantial differences in scar characteristics were observed. This finding raises

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

critical questions about the metrics used to evaluate scar outcomes, suggesting a need for more nuanced assessments that could capture the subtleties of scar appearance and patient experience .

Moreover, the integration of laser treatments, both ablative and non-ablative, into the healing process is explored. The combination of sutures with non-ablative laser treatment was noted to yield the most favorable results in terms of patient satisfaction. This suggests that multimodal approaches may offer enhanced outcomes compared to singular treatment modalities. However, the authors emphasize that the literature lacks long-term data on the effectiveness of these combined techniques, which is a significant gap in understanding the durability of treatment results .

The review also identifies several limitations within the current research landscape, including small sample sizes, unicentric study designs, and a lack of standardization in treatment protocols. These factors contribute to the challenges in drawing generalizable conclusions about the efficacy of various scar treatment methods. The authors advocate for increased methodological rigor in future studies to bolster the evidence base surrounding these interventions.

CONCLUSION

The literature on optimizing postsurgical scars reveals a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted approaches necessary for effective scar management. (Sidgwick et al., 2015) conducted a thorough evidence-based review that underscores the significance of topical therapies in managing skin scarring, particularly emphasizing the need for self-medication and the lack of standardized protocols for treating hypertrophic and keloid scars. Their work highlights a critical gap in the literature regarding the efficacy of various topical treatments and the necessity for structured approaches in scar management ((Sidgwick et al., 2015)).

Building on this foundation, (Jourdan et al., 2019) highlighted the widespread impact of scarring resulting from surgical interventions, noting that approximately 100 million individuals in developed countries are affected. Their review advocates for a collaborative approach involving dermatologists and surgeons in postoperative

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

care to enhance healing and minimize scarring. The authors stress the importance of ongoing scar management beyond the initial postoperative period, recognizing that many practitioners cease care prematurely ((Jourdan et al., 2019)).

(L. Feinendegen et al., 2022) contributed an algorithmic framework that integrates physiological research to prevent unsightly facial scars. Their analysis identifies genetic predispositions as significant factors influencing scar quality and emphasizes the necessity for individualized patient assessments prior to surgical interventions. The authors provided procedural recommendations, such as the flat incision technique (FIT) and appropriate postoperative care strategies, to mitigate the risk of poor scarring ((L. Feinendegen et al., 2022)).

Most recently, reviewed various techniques aimed at enhancing healing after surgical interventions, comparing the efficacy of different skin closure methods, including subcuticular sutures and tissue adhesives. Their findings suggest a preference for subcuticular sutures, although they acknowledge the need for further research to establish definitive recommendations. The integration of laser treatments alongside traditional suturing methods was also explored, indicating that multimodal approaches may yield better outcomes ().

In conclusion, the reviewed literature collectively emphasizes the importance of a multifaceted approach to optimizing postsurgical scars, which includes effective topical treatments, meticulous surgical techniques, and a collaborative care model. Each study contributes to a deeper understanding of the factors influencing scar outcomes, highlighting the need for further research to establish standardized protocols and improve patient care in the context of surgical healing.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- Disclosure Statement : The authors have no conflicts of Interest to declare
- Funding Sources : None
- Acknowledgements : -

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

- Author Contribution : All authors discussed and contributed the final content for journal submission and publication

REFERENCES

1. Sidgwick, G. P., McGeorge, D., & Bayat, A., 2015. A comprehensive evidence-based review on the role of topicals and dressings in the management of skin scarring. [PDF]
2. Jourdan, M., C Madfes, D., Lima, E., Tian, Y., & Seité, S., 2019. Skin Care Management For Medical And Aesthetic Procedures To Prevent Scarring. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
3. L. Feinendegen, D., Grubnik, A., & Y. Feinendegen, S., 2022. An Algorithm for Prevention of Unsightly Facial Scars Considering the Newest Research Insights. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved