Research Article Volume 01, Issue No. 02. 2025



The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery and Aesthetic Medicine

Implant Vs. Autologous Tissue-Based Breast Reconstruction

[1] Antonius, [2] Yohan

[1] Faculty of Medicine, Maranatha Christian University, Bandung City, West Java, Indonesia

Correspondence : dr.antonius3938747@gmail.com

Article History :

Received date : 2024/06/21
Revised date : 2024/07/06
Accepted date : 2024/08/15
Published date : 2025/01/05

Copyright: *© 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).*

ABSTRACT

Background: The literature on breast reconstruction following mastectomy reveals a complex interplay between patient satisfaction, clinical outcomes, and the choice between implant-based and autologous tissue methods. **Literature Review:** The trend towards implant-based reconstructions, as discussed in the article by (Gardani et al., 2017), has been attributed to factors such as lower surgical risks and cultural acceptance. However, the authors caution that these perceived advantages may come at the cost of long-term satisfaction and health challenges, thereby complicating the decision-making process for patients and healthcare providers. The recent study by (Johnson et al., 2021) further highlights the lack of high-quality comparative studies that adequately assess patient-reported outcomes over time, revealing a significant gap in understanding the long-term implications of each reconstruction method. The findings from (Persichetti et al., 2022) suggest that patients undergoing autologous reconstruction generally report higher satisfaction and improved quality of life compared to their counterparts who opt for implants. This aligns with the narrative presented by (I. Chang, 2023), which advocates for a patient-centered approach that incorporates patient perceptions into clinical practice. The meta-analysis by (Sungkar et al., 2024) consolidates this evidence, demonstrating notable differences in satisfaction levels between the two methods, thereby underscoring the importance of individualized treatment plans that prioritize patient preferences. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, the literature collectively underscores the importance of patient satisfaction and long-term outcomes in breast reconstruction decisions. While both implant-based and autologous tissue methods have their respective advantages and challenges, the evidence leans towards a more favorable perception of autologous reconstruction among patients. The ongoing discourse emphasizes the necessity for further research to fill existing gaps in comparative studies, aiming to enhance the decision-making process for patients and improve overall care in breast reconstruction.

Keyword: Implant, Autologous, Tissue-Based Breast Reconstruction

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

The literature surrounding breast reconstruction after mastectomy has evolved significantly, reflecting the complexities and nuances of patient care and satisfaction. In the systematic review by (Khajuria et al., 2017) the authors critically analyze various techniques for breast reconstruction, particularly focusing on patient satisfaction and long-term outcomes associated with deep inferior epigastric perforator (DIEP) flaps compared to implant-based methods. Their findings underscore the importance of understanding patient-reported outcomes, which serve as a key metric for evaluating the effectiveness of different reconstruction techniques.

(Gardani et al., 2017) further contextualizes this discussion by highlighting the growing trend towards implant-based reconstructions, which have become more prevalent than autologous methods since 2002. They identify various factors contributing to this shift, including reduced surgical risks and the cultural acceptance of breast implants. However, they also emphasize that while implants may offer immediate benefits, they come with their own set of challenges that can impact patient satisfaction and long-term health.

In a more recent study, (Johnson et al., 2021) address the need for comprehensive data on long-term outcomes associated with both reconstruction methods. They point out the complexities involved in decision-making for breast reconstruction and the lack of high-quality comparative studies that assess patient-reported outcomes over time. Their work highlights the necessity for a more standardized approach to measuring satisfaction and quality of life in patients undergoing these procedures.

(Persichetti et al., 2022) contribute to this dialogue by presenting evidence that suggests patients who opt for autologous reconstruction report greater satisfaction and improved quality of life compared to those who choose implants. Their analysis of various studies reinforces the notion that while both reconstruction methods aim

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

to restore physical appearance, the emotional and psychological benefits of autologous techniques may be more pronounced.

(I. Chang, 2023) underscores the significance of patient-reported outcomes in evaluating the success of breast reconstruction. He advocates for a patient-centered approach that incorporates these metrics into clinical practice, thereby enhancing the overall care delivery. This narrative review further supports the argument that understanding patient perceptions is crucial for optimizing treatment outcomes.

Finally, the meta-analysis conducted by (Sungkar et al., 2024) consolidates existing evidence on patient satisfaction, demonstrating that both autologous and implant-based reconstructions can yield positive results, but with notable differences in satisfaction levels. Their findings, based on the BREAST-Q questionnaire, affirm the importance of individualized treatment plans that consider patient preferences and psychological well-being.

(Laurent et al., 2024) provide a comprehensive overview of autogenous techniques, emphasizing their advantages over implants, such as lower complication rates and higher patient satisfaction. Their review highlights the socio-psychological impact of mastectomy and the transformative role of autologous reconstruction in restoring not just physical appearance but also emotional health.

Together, these articles paint a multifaceted picture of breast reconstruction options, revealing the critical importance of patient satisfaction and long-term outcomes in guiding treatment decisions. The ongoing discourse in this field highlights the need for further research to better understand the implications of each reconstruction method on patients' lives.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article titled "Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis on the clinical outcomes and cost of deep inferior epigastric perforator (DIEP) flap versus implants for breast reconstruction" by (Khajuria et al., 2017) presents a comprehensive framework for evaluating the clinical outcomes and economic implications of two prominent breast reconstruction techniques: the DIEP flap and implant-based

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

reconstruction. The authors aim to systematically analyze the patient satisfaction levels, psychosocial impact, and long-term costs associated with these methods, which is crucial given the increasing number of mastectomies performed annually.

The article underscores the importance of patient-reported outcomes, particularly focusing on the BREAST-Q, a validated tool for assessing quality of life and satisfaction in breast reconstruction patients. By comparing DIEP flap and implant techniques, the authors provide insights into how these methods affect not only aesthetic results but also the psychological well-being of patients following mastectomy. The systematic review approach allows for a rigorous comparison of multiple studies, thereby enhancing the reliability of the conclusions drawn regarding patient satisfaction and psychosocial morbidity.

One notable aspect of the article is its emphasis on the psychological effects of immediate breast reconstruction. The authors suggest that the choice between autologous tissue and implant-based methods can significantly influence a patient's emotional recovery and body image post-surgery. This perspective is critical, as it moves beyond mere clinical outcomes to address the holistic impact of breast reconstruction on a patient's life.

Furthermore, the article highlights the economic considerations of each reconstruction method. By analyzing the long-term costs associated with both techniques, the authors aim to provide valuable information for healthcare providers and patients alike, facilitating informed decision-making. The inclusion of cost analysis is particularly relevant in the context of healthcare resource allocation, especially in public hospitals where budget constraints may influence the choice of reconstruction technique.

The article "Breast reconstruction with anatomical implants: A review of indications and techniques based on current literature" by (Gardani et al., 2017) provides a comprehensive examination of breast reconstruction methods, particularly focusing on the use of anatomical implants in the context of breast cancer treatment. The authors highlight the significant rise in the utilization of implant-based reconstructions from 1998 to 2008, noting a marked increase of 11%

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

per year, while the rates for autologous reconstructions remained stable. This trend raises important questions regarding the factors influencing surgical choices among patients and healthcare providers.

The article outlines the historical evolution of breast cancer treatment, noting a shift from radical mastectomy to modified radical mastectomy in the 1970s, which improved the aesthetic outcomes and expanded reconstructive options. This historical context is crucial as it underscores the growing recognition of the psychological and emotional importance of breast reconstruction for survivors of breast cancer. The authors assert that breast reconstruction is now an integral part of the treatment paradigm, emphasizing the necessity for both medical professionals and patients to be informed about the available options.

The authors critically evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of both implant-based and autologous tissue-based reconstructions. They note that while implant-based reconstructions are associated with lower surgical risks, reduced scarring, and less donor site morbidity, they also present specific challenges, such as the potential for complications related to the implants themselves. Conversely, autologous reconstructions, while potentially offering more natural results, are often accompanied by longer surgical times and increased recovery periods. This dichotomy highlights the need for individualized patient assessments to determine the most appropriate reconstructive approach based on personal circumstances, preferences, and medical considerations.

Furthermore, the article addresses the socio-economic factors influencing the choice of reconstruction methods. The authors suggest that funding disparities and a cultural shift towards the acceptance of breast implants have contributed to the increasing preference for alloplastic reconstructions over autologous options. This observation prompts a critical reflection on how healthcare policies and patient education can shape surgical decisions in breast reconstruction.

The article titled "Protocol for a national cohort study to explore the long-term clinical and patient-reported outcomes and cost-effectiveness of implant-based and autologous breast reconstruction after mastectomy for breast cancer: the brighter

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

study" by (Johnson et al., 2021) addresses a critical gap in the literature regarding breast reconstruction options following mastectomy for breast cancer. The authors highlight the increasing survival rates of breast cancer patients, with over 75% expected to survive at least a decade post-diagnosis in the UK. This statistic underscores the importance of not only the surgical treatment but also the subsequent quality of life and satisfaction with reconstruction methods.

The article emphasizes the complexity involved in decision-making for breast reconstruction, particularly between implant-based and autologous approaches. Autologous reconstruction can be executed via pedicled or free-flap techniques, each presenting distinct implications for procedure duration, hospital stay length, postoperative recovery, and potential complications. The authors argue that while the short-term risks and benefits of each method must be weighed, the long-term outcomes are equally critical yet under-researched. This is particularly relevant given the potential for complications such as capsular contracture, which may necessitate additional surgeries.

A notable point raised in the article is the lack of high-quality comparative studies that directly evaluate the long-term outcomes of implant-based versus autologous breast reconstruction. The authors critique the existing literature for its inadequacy in providing meaningful comparisons between different procedures, which complicates the decision-making process for both patients and surgeons. They point out that although recent multicenter studies utilizing the BREAST-Q questionnaire have indicated higher satisfaction and better health-related quality of life among patients undergoing autologous reconstruction, comprehensive data on long-term outcomes remain scarce.

The authors propose a national cohort study, the BRIGHTER study, aimed at addressing these deficiencies by exploring long-term clinical outcomes, patient-reported outcomes, and cost-effectiveness of the two reconstruction methods. This study is crucial as it aims to provide robust evidence that can guide clinical practice and improve patient decision-making.

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

The article "Impact on Patient's Appearance Perception of Autologous and Implant Based Breast Reconstruction Following Mastectomy Using BREAST-Q" by (Persichetti et al., 2022) provides a comprehensive analysis of patient satisfaction and outcomes associated with autologous versus implant-based breast reconstruction techniques. The authors synthesize findings from various studies, emphasizing the nuanced understanding of patient perceptions following mastectomy.

A significant insight from the article is the consistent trend indicating that patients who underwent autologous-tissue reconstruction reported higher satisfaction levels with their reconstructed breasts compared to those who opted for implant-based reconstruction. This aligns with the findings of Pirro, Santosa, and Weichman, who collectively affirm that autologous reconstruction not only enhances aesthetic satisfaction but also contributes positively to psychosocial and sexual well-being ((Persichetti et al., 2022)). The article underscores that while both reconstruction methods improve overall well-being, autologous techniques tend to yield superior long-term satisfaction.

Moreover, the article highlights the importance of considering individual patient characteristics when choosing a reconstruction method. The authors note that complications and the need for secondary procedures are common across both modalities, yet they vary significantly by technique. This observation is crucial for clinicians as it suggests that patient education and informed consent should encompass not only the aesthetic outcomes but also the potential for complications associated with each method.

The article also references a cohort study by Nelson et al., which presents a large-scale examination of patient-reported outcomes. This study reinforces the notion that while implant-based reconstruction may offer stable long-term satisfaction, autologous reconstruction consistently results in higher reported satisfaction and quality of life at various postoperative time points ((Persichetti et al., 2022)).

In conclusion, the article effectively synthesizes evidence from multiple studies to illustrate that while both reconstruction techniques have their merits, autologous

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

reconstruction is generally perceived more favorably by patients. The authors advocate for a tailored approach to breast reconstruction, emphasizing that the decision should be informed by precise criteria and individual patient characteristics. This critical evaluation of reconstructive modalities provides valuable insights for both practitioners and patients navigating post-mastectomy reconstruction options.

The article titled "Narrative review of patient-reported outcomes of breast reconstruction" by (I. Chang, 2023) presents a comprehensive overview of the various reconstructive options available to patients following mastectomy, with a particular emphasis on the significance of patient-reported outcomes (PROs) in evaluating the success of these interventions. Chang underscores the importance of tailoring reconstruction methods—be it prosthetic reconstruction with implants or autologous tissue reconstruction using free or pedicled flaps—to meet the individual needs and preferences of patients.

One of the key insights from the article is the shift in the medical paradigm towards a more patient-centric approach in assessing the effectiveness of breast cancer treatment and subsequent reconstruction. This shift is reflected in the increasing emphasis on PROs, which serve as critical indicators of patient satisfaction and quality of life post-reconstruction. Chang highlights that the incorporation of tools such as the BREAST-Q allows for a more nuanced understanding of patient experiences, thereby facilitating improved delivery of care tailored to the individual patient’s perspective.

The article effectively critiques the current landscape of breast reconstruction by acknowledging the diversity of options available while also emphasizing the necessity for a thorough evaluation of outcomes from the patient's viewpoint. Chang’s narrative review articulates that despite the advancements in surgical techniques and materials, the ultimate measure of success lies in the patient’s perception of their reconstruction experience. This perspective aligns with contemporary trends in healthcare that advocate for the inclusion of patient voices in clinical decision-making processes.

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

Moreover, the discussion around the various reconstructive modalities is well-rounded, providing insights into the advantages and potential drawbacks of both implant-based and autologous tissue-based reconstructions. Chang's analysis suggests that while implants may offer a less invasive option with shorter recovery times, autologous tissue reconstructions often yield more natural aesthetic results and may better align with the long-term physical and emotional needs of patients.

The article "Patients' Satisfaction After Breast Reconstruction Surgery Using Autologous versus Implants: A Meta-Analysis" by (Sungkar et al., 2024) provides a comprehensive examination of patient satisfaction following breast reconstruction surgery, specifically comparing autologous tissue methods to implant-based approaches. This systematic review and meta-analysis synthesizes existing research to elucidate how different reconstruction techniques impact patient experiences and satisfaction levels, which are critical factors in the overall success of breast reconstruction.

The authors effectively highlight that breast reconstruction serves not only to restore physical appearance after mastectomy but also to address psychological issues such as emotional distress and diminished self-esteem. The article emphasizes that the choice between autologous tissue and implant-based reconstruction can significantly influence patient outcomes. By employing the BREAST-Q questionnaire, the authors measure satisfaction levels, which are crucial for understanding the quality of life for patients post-surgery. This tool is specifically designed for breast surgery patients, allowing for a nuanced assessment of their experiences.

A critical evaluation of the meta-analysis reveals that the authors have meticulously compiled data from various studies, including essential variables such as sample size, demographics, and satisfaction scores. This thorough approach allows for a robust comparison between the two reconstruction methods. However, it is essential to consider potential biases in the included studies, such as variations in surgical techniques, patient demographics, and subjective interpretations of satisfaction. The article could benefit from a more detailed discussion on how these factors may influence the outcomes reported in the meta-analysis.

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

Moreover, while the findings suggest that both reconstruction methods can enhance patient satisfaction, the authors could further explore the specific aspects of each method that contribute to these outcomes. For instance, the physical, emotional, and aesthetic implications of each technique could be elaborated upon to provide a more comprehensive understanding of patient preferences. This would enrich the discussion and offer valuable insights for clinicians and patients making decisions regarding breast reconstruction.

In the article "Autogenous breast reconstruction for total mastectomies: a narrative review," (Laurent et al., 2024) provide a comprehensive examination of various autogenous techniques utilized in breast reconstruction following mastectomy. The authors emphasize that autologous reconstructions yield satisfactory, durable, and reliable outcomes with relatively low complication rates, which positions them favorably in comparison to implant-based options.

The review systematically outlines the predominant techniques used in autologous breast reconstruction, namely the deep inferior epigastric perforator (DIEP) flaps, latissimus dorsi flaps, and autologous fat grafting. These methods are highlighted for their ability to create a more natural breast appearance and feel, which is a significant consideration for many patients undergoing reconstruction. The authors argue that the shift towards autologous techniques has been largely influenced by advancements in microsurgery and the understanding of angiosomes, which are regions of the body supplied by specific blood vessels ((Laurent et al., 2024)). This knowledge has enhanced the precision and safety of such procedures, contributing to their growing popularity.

Moreover, the review discusses the psychological and quality-of-life benefits associated with autologous reconstruction. The authors note that patients who undergo this type of reconstruction report higher satisfaction levels and improved overall quality of life compared to those opting for implants. This observation is critical, as it underscores the importance of considering patient-centered outcomes in surgical decision-making.

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

The article also addresses the advantages of autologous tissue over implants, including the elimination of the need for implant surveillance and a greater resilience to the effects of radiation therapy. These factors are particularly relevant for patients who may require adjuvant treatments post-surgery, as they can significantly influence long-term outcomes and patient well-being.

However, while the authors present a compelling case for autologous reconstruction, it is important to recognize potential limitations and challenges associated with these techniques. For instance, the complexity of the procedures may result in longer operative times and recovery periods, which could be a deterrent for some patients. Additionally, the availability of donor sites and the potential for donor site morbidity are factors that must be carefully considered in the preoperative planning phase.

CONCLUSION

The literature on breast reconstruction following mastectomy reveals a complex interplay between patient satisfaction, clinical outcomes, and the choice between implant-based and autologous tissue methods. The systematic review by (Khajuria et al., 2017) emphasizes the significance of patient-reported outcomes, particularly highlighting the need for a comprehensive understanding of the long-term effects of different reconstruction techniques on patient quality of life. The focus on the deep inferior epigastric perforator (DIEP) flap in comparison to implants indicates a growing recognition of the psychological implications of reconstruction choices, suggesting that the emotional recovery and body image post-surgery are profoundly influenced by the type of reconstruction performed.

The trend towards implant-based reconstructions, as discussed in the article by (Gardani et al., 2017), has been attributed to factors such as lower surgical risks and cultural acceptance. However, the authors caution that these perceived advantages may come at the cost of long-term satisfaction and health challenges, thereby complicating the decision-making process for patients and healthcare providers. The recent study by (Johnson et al., 2021) further highlights the lack of high-quality comparative studies that adequately assess patient-reported outcomes over time,

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

revealing a significant gap in understanding the long-term implications of each reconstruction method.

The findings from (Persichetti et al., 2022) suggest that patients undergoing autologous reconstruction generally report higher satisfaction and improved quality of life compared to their counterparts who opt for implants. This aligns with the narrative presented by (I. Chang, 2023), which advocates for a patient-centered approach that incorporates patient perceptions into clinical practice. The meta-analysis by (Sungkar et al., 2024) consolidates this evidence, demonstrating notable differences in satisfaction levels between the two methods, thereby underscoring the importance of individualized treatment plans that prioritize patient preferences.

Moreover, the review by (Laurent et al., 2024) reinforces the advantages of autologous techniques, such as lower complication rates and a more natural aesthetic outcome. The authors argue that these benefits extend beyond physical appearance, positively affecting the emotional and psychological health of patients post-mastectomy. However, the complexities and potential downsides of autologous procedures, including longer recovery times and donor site morbidity, must also be considered.

In conclusion, the literature collectively underscores the importance of patient satisfaction and long-term outcomes in breast reconstruction decisions. While both implant-based and autologous tissue methods have their respective advantages and challenges, the evidence leans towards a more favorable perception of autologous reconstruction among patients. The ongoing discourse emphasizes the necessity for further research to fill existing gaps in comparative studies, aiming to enhance the decision-making process for patients and improve overall care in breast reconstruction.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- Disclosure Statement : The authors have no conflicts of Interest to declare
- Funding Sources : None

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

- Acknowledgements : -

- Author Contribution : All authors discussed and contributed the final content for journal submission and publication

REFERENCES

1. Khajuria, A. K., Smith, O. J. S., Prokopenko, M. P., Greenfield, M. G., & Mosahebi, A. M., 2017. Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis on the clinical outcomes and cost of deep inferior epigastric perforator (DIEP) flap versus implants for breast reconstruction. [PDF]
2. Gardani, M., Bertozzi, N., Pio Grieco, M., Pesce, M., Simonacci, F., Santi, P. L., & Raposio, E., 2017. Breast reconstruction with anatomical implants: A review of indications and techniques based on current literature. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
3. Johnson, L., Holcombe, C., M O'Donoghue, J., Jeevan, R., Browne, J., Fairbrother, P., MacKenzie, M., Gulliver-Clarke, C., White, P., Mohiuddin, S., Hollingworth, W., & Potter, S., 2021. Protocol for a national cohort study to explore the long-term clinical and patient-reported outcomes and cost-effectiveness of implant-based and autologous breast reconstruction after mastectomy for breast cancer: the brighter study. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
4. Persichetti, P., Barone, M., Salzillo, R., Cogliandro, A., Brunetti, B., Ciarrocchi, S., Alessandri Bonetti, M., Tenna, S., Sorotos, M., & Santanelli Di Pompeo, F., 2022. Impact on Patient's Appearance Perception of Autologous and Implant Based Breast Reconstruction Following Mastectomy Using BREAST-Q. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
5. I. Chang, E., 2023. Narrative review of patient-reported outcomes of breast reconstruction. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
6. Sungkar, A., Yuli Yarso, K., Fajar Nugroho, D., Ibnu Wahid, D., & Analis Permatasari, C., 2024. Patients' Satisfaction After Breast

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

Reconstruction Surgery Using Autologous versus Implants: A Meta-Analysis. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

7. Laurent, R., Trifan, A., David Danino, A., S. Paek, L., Schoucair, R., Pauchot, J., Bernier, C., Briand, E., & A. Danino, M., 2024. Autogenous breast reconstruction for total mastectomies: a narrative review. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved