Research Article Volume 01, Issue No. 02. 2025



The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery and Aesthetic Medicine

Chin Augmentation Techniques

[1] Erick, [2] Patricia

[1] Faculty of Medicine, Maranatha Christian University, Bandung City, West Java, Indonesia

Correspondence : dokter.erick9487483@gmail.com

Article History :

Received date : 2024/06/28
Revised date : 2024/07/07
Accepted date : 2024/08/19
Published date : 2025/01/05

Copyright: *© 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).*

ABSTRACT

Background: The literature on chin augmentation techniques encompasses a variety of surgical and nonsurgical methods, each presenting distinct advantages and challenges. A well-proportioned chin is deemed essential for facial harmony, and numerous studies have explored various approaches to achieve this goal. **Literature Review:** Osseous genioplasty is highlighted as a primary surgical intervention for chin abnormalities, with significant aesthetic outcomes noted in a case series that underscores its effectiveness in enhancing facial contour (N. Deshpande & V. Munoli, 2011). This technique involves modifying the skeletal structure, thus addressing both aesthetic and functional concerns. However, the literature also points to the potential complications associated with this method, necessitating a thorough understanding of patient-specific factors to optimize results (Khan et al., 2021). Synthetic implants have emerged as a less invasive alternative to genioplasty, offering distinct advantages such as reduced surgical morbidity. However, they are not without risks, including mental nerve injury and implant migration (Wever et al., 2013). The importance of careful surgical planning is emphasized to mitigate these complications. Nonsurgical methods, such as hyaluronic acid (HA) injections, provide a quick alternative for patients seeking minor enhancements without the need for invasive procedures (Chen et al., 2022). Although effective, these techniques require precision in application due to the complexity of the chin's morphology. Innovative techniques, such as coronal-splitting reduction genioplasty, aim to improve aesthetic outcomes for patients with macrogenia by enhancing control over both bone and soft tissue adjustments (Hirohi, 2024). This method seeks to address the limitations of traditional approaches, promising more satisfactory results. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, the literature reflects a dynamic evolution in chin augmentation techniques, marked by a blend of traditional surgical methods and contemporary technological advancements. The ongoing exploration of these techniques emphasizes the importance of individualized approaches to achieve optimal aesthetic results in facial plastic surgery.

Keyword: Chin Augmentation, Techniques

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

The aesthetic significance of chin morphology has been a focal point in facial plastic surgery, contributing to various techniques aimed at chin augmentation and correction. The literature surrounding chin augmentation techniques highlights a spectrum of surgical and nonsurgical methods, each with its unique advantages and considerations.

(N. Deshpande & V. Munoli, 2011) underscore the importance of a well-proportioned chin in enhancing facial harmony, introducing osseous genioplasty as a viable surgical intervention for chin abnormalities. Their case series illustrates how skeletal modifications can lead to improved facial aesthetics, setting the groundwork for further exploration into surgical techniques.

(Wever et al., 2013) expand on the evolution of chin augmentation through synthetic implants, detailing the advantages and complications associated with augmentation mentoplasty. They note that while synthetic implants offer a less invasive alternative to genioplasty, they are not devoid of risks such as mental nerve injury and implant migration. This discussion emphasizes the need for careful surgical planning to mitigate complications.

The introduction of three-dimensional (3D) imaging technology in chin surgery is further elaborated by (Jae Jegal et al., 2013), who advocate for a 3D approach to genioplasty. Their findings suggest that utilizing preoperative 3D analysis can enhance surgical outcomes by allowing for precise corrections of chin deformities, thus addressing the limitations of traditional techniques.

(Oth et al., 2020) provide a systematic review of the integration of 3D printing technology in genioplasty, highlighting its potential to improve surgical planning and execution. They emphasize that the ability to create surgical guides from 3D-printed models can lead to more accurate osteotomies and better aesthetic results, showcasing a significant advancement in the field.

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

(Khan et al., 2021) examine postoperative complications linked to genioplasty, revealing that factors such as age, gender, and the specific type of genioplasty performed can influence outcomes. Their research points to the necessity of understanding these variables to enhance surgical safety and effectiveness, reinforcing the importance of tailored approaches in chin surgery.

(Chen et al., 2022) introduce a nonsurgical method for chin augmentation using hyaluronic acid (HA) injections, presenting it as a quick alternative for patients seeking minor enhancements. They discuss the anatomical considerations necessary for effective HA application, highlighting the challenges posed by the chin's complex morphology and advocating for precision in injection techniques.

(N.M. Shah Mardan et al., 2023) propose an innovative approach to chin reduction that simplifies the correction of macrogenia. Their technique, which utilizes a cutting burr rather than traditional osteotomy, suggests a less invasive option with promising results, contributing to the ongoing discourse on optimizing chin surgery methodologies.

Finally, (Hirohi, 2024) presents a novel technique of coronal-splitting reduction genioplasty, addressing the aesthetic shortcomings of existing methods for correcting macrogenia. By drawing on principles from alloplastic augmentation, this approach aims to achieve superior aesthetic outcomes, thereby adding a new dimension to the surgical landscape of chin augmentation.

Together, these articles reflect a dynamic evolution in chin augmentation techniques, emphasizing the interplay between aesthetic ideals, technological advancements, and surgical innovation in the pursuit of optimal facial harmony.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article "Osseous genioplasty: A case series" by (N. Deshpande & V. Munoli, 2011) presents a comprehensive examination of osseous genioplasty as a technique for chin augmentation. The authors emphasize the critical role that the chin plays in enhancing facial aesthetics, noting that a well-proportioned, symmetrical chin contributes significantly to the overall beauty and youthfulness of an individual’s

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

face. This assertion is grounded in the understanding that the chin's alignment and projection can influence the perceived length of both the face and the nose, thereby affecting facial harmony.

In their case series, (N. Deshpande & V. Munoli, 2011) detail the surgical procedure involved in osseous genioplasty, which entails the modification of skeletal structure to correct chin abnormalities. This approach not only addresses aesthetic concerns but also has implications for functional aspects of the jaw and occlusion. The authors provide a thorough analysis of the outcomes observed in their patient cohort, highlighting the positive changes in facial contour and symmetry that resulted from the procedure.

One of the strengths of the article is its focus on the aesthetic outcomes of osseous genioplasty. The authors effectively argue that surgical correction of chin deformities can lead to significant improvements in facial harmony, which is a crucial consideration for both patients and practitioners in the field of cosmetic surgery. The case series format allows for a detailed exploration of individual patient results, providing valuable insights into the variability of outcomes based on different anatomical considerations.

However, while the article provides a robust overview of the technique and its benefits, it could have benefited from a more extensive discussion on potential complications and limitations associated with osseous genioplasty. A critical evaluation of the risks involved, as well as a comparison with alternative chin augmentation methods, would have enriched the reader's understanding of the procedure's place within the broader context of facial aesthetic surgery.

The article "Delayed Mental Nerve Neuralgia following Chin Augmentation" by (Wever et al., 2013) provides a comprehensive overview of chin augmentation techniques, specifically focusing on the use of synthetic implants. Since its introduction in the 1950s, chin augmentation has gained popularity as a viable option for addressing chin retrusion or microgenia. The authors detail the procedural aspects of implant placement in the subperiosteal pocket, which is designed to enhance chin projection effectively.

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

One of the significant advantages of synthetic chin implants, as noted in the article, is their lower associated surgical morbidity compared to genioplasty, which involves more complex osteotomy procedures. This distinction is crucial for patients seeking cosmetic enhancement while minimizing recovery time and potential complications. The article emphasizes that while synthetic implants are generally safer, they are not without risks. Complications such as infection, malposition, and intraoral extrusion of the implant are highlighted, necessitating thorough patient counseling regarding these potential outcomes.

The authors also discuss the techniques for implant insertion, noting the preference for the transoral approach due to its aesthetic benefits, specifically the avoidance of external scarring. The surgical technique is described in detail, including the importance of creating an optimally sized subperiosteal pocket to reduce the risk of implant migration. However, the article points out that despite careful technique, complications such as traumatic dislodgement and migration may still occur, particularly in patients engaged in high-risk activities. This aspect underscores the importance of preoperative discussions regarding lifestyle factors that could affect surgical outcomes.

The article titled "The Utility of a Three-Dimensional Approach with T-Shaped Osteotomy in Osseous Genioplasty" by (Jae Jegal et al., 2013) presents a comprehensive examination of chin augmentation techniques, particularly focusing on the innovative use of three-dimensional (3D) imaging technology in genioplasty. The authors assert that the chin plays a crucial role in defining the overall facial aesthetic, and achieving an ideal chin contour is essential for harmonious facial proportions.

The article effectively highlights the limitations of traditional genioplasty methods, such as horizontal osteotomy, implant genioplasty, and graft genioplasty, which often fall short in correcting complex chin deformities. The authors emphasize that these conventional techniques may not adequately address issues of abnormal laterality or dimensional deformities when employed singularly. This critical evaluation of existing methods underlines the necessity for a more sophisticated

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

approach to chin augmentation that can meet diverse aesthetic requirements from multiple perspectives—frontal, lateral, and inferior.

A significant contribution of the article is its advocacy for the integration of 3D imaging technology in the preoperative planning phase. The authors describe how 3D computed tomography (CT) facilitates a detailed analysis of the facial contour, allowing for a more precise surgical approach. This advancement not only enhances the surgeon's ability to visualize the anatomical nuances of the chin but also improves the predictability of surgical outcomes. The reported cases of successful genioplasty utilizing T-shaped osteotomy, guided by 3D CT analysis, serve as compelling evidence of the technique's efficacy and its potential to yield highly satisfactory results.

Moreover, the article underscores the importance of a tailored surgical strategy that considers the unique anatomical features of each patient. By advocating for a 3D approach, the authors position their work at the forefront of contemporary chin augmentation practices, suggesting that such methodologies could revolutionize the field of facial plastic surgery.

The article titled "Genioplasty with surgical guide using 3D-printing technology: A systematic review" by (Oth et al., 2020) presents a comprehensive examination of the advancements in genioplasty, particularly focusing on the integration of 3D-printing technology in surgical planning and execution. The authors effectively articulate the evolution of genioplasty as a surgical technique aimed at correcting chin deformities through osteotomy of the mandible's inferior border. They trace the historical context of the procedure back to its pioneers, Trauner and Obwegeser, who first introduced the intraoral approach to chin advancement.

The systematic review critically evaluates the various modifications of genioplasty that have emerged over time, highlighting the versatility of the technique in addressing a range of aesthetic and functional concerns, such as chin setback, impaction, vertical height augmentation, narrowing, and widening. This breadth of application underscores the importance of tailored surgical planning, as the article

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

emphasizes that the osteotomy's location and the subsequent movement of the bony segment are pivotal in achieving optimal surgical outcomes.

A significant contribution of the article is its focus on the role of 3D printing in enhancing the precision of genioplasty. The authors argue that the use of surgical guides produced through 3D printing can facilitate a more accurate pre-operative simulation, thereby improving intraoperative navigation. This innovation not only aids in the meticulous positioning of the chin but also minimizes the risk of damaging surrounding anatomical structures. The review highlights the potential for these guides to transform the surgical landscape by providing a customized approach to each patient's unique anatomical needs.

Moreover, the systematic review presents a balanced perspective by discussing the current state of research in this area, identifying gaps, and suggesting directions for future studies. The authors call for further investigation into the long-term outcomes of 3D-printed surgical guides in genioplasty, as well as the need for standardized protocols to maximize the benefits of this technology.

The article titled "Postoperative Complications in Genioplasty and Their Association with Age, Gender, and Type of Genioplasty" authored by (Khan et al., 2021) provides a comprehensive examination of chin augmentation techniques through the lens of genioplasty. The authors emphasize the significance of chin projection and shape in contributing to facial aesthetics, which is a critical aspect of personal beauty and social perception. This focus underscores the importance of achieving symmetry and harmonious proportions among facial features, particularly the chin in relation to the other facial thirds.

The article effectively categorizes chin deformities as excessive, deficient, asymmetrical, or a combination thereof, and discusses how these deformities can detract from an aesthetically pleasing facial profile. The authors detail various surgical methods employed to correct these issues, including advancement, rotational, setback, alloplastic, and reduction genioplasty. This classification is beneficial for practitioners as it highlights the diverse approaches available for chin

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

augmentation and the potential for combining these techniques with other osteotomies to enhance overall facial symmetry.

Historical context is provided through a review of pioneering techniques in chin surgery, starting from Hofer's initial sliding genioplasty to more recent advancements in 3D technology that have significantly improved surgical outcomes. The mention of computed tomography and cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) as tools for preoperative planning is particularly noteworthy, as these technologies facilitate a more accurate assessment of soft tissue profiles, thereby enhancing the precision of surgical interventions.

The article also addresses the complications associated with genioplasty, noting that complication rates can vary significantly, with an average rate of 10%. The authors identify sensory deficits and infections as the most common postoperative complications, which is critical information for both surgeons and patients. By focusing on the incidence of complications specific to genioplasty performed by a single surgeon, the study aims to provide valuable insights for postgraduate residents and young surgeons, enabling them to better anticipate and manage potential postoperative challenges.

However, while the article presents a thorough overview of the techniques and complications associated with genioplasty, it could benefit from a more in-depth exploration of the biological implications and economic factors influencing surgical decision-making. The authors briefly mention these elements but do not delve into how they might affect patient outcomes or the selection of surgical techniques.

The article "Chin Augmentation With Hyaluronic Acid: An Injection Technique Based on Anatomical Morphology" by (Chen et al., 2022) provides a comprehensive examination of chin augmentation techniques, focusing particularly on the use of hyaluronic acid (HA) injections as a non-surgical alternative to traditional surgical genioplasty.

The authors emphasize that aging and mental skeleton dysplasia are significant contributors to chin deformities, asserting that the primary objective of chin augmentation procedures is to enhance the aesthetic shape and contour of the mental

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

skeleton. They detail the effectiveness of surgical genioplasty in correcting various deficiencies, positioning it as a comprehensive solution. However, they also recognize that HA injections serve as a temporary and less invasive option for patients who may not require extensive surgical intervention.

A critical aspect of the study is the methodology employed in the HA injection technique. The authors reference Sahan's approach, which utilizes a cannula from a midline entry point on the menton, employing a retrograde, lateral-to-medial tunneling technique. This method appears to facilitate a more controlled injection process, although the authors note that achieving precise placement in the chin's complex three-dimensional structure poses challenges. The reported outcomes indicate that a significant percentage of patients experienced varying degrees of improvement, with 56% reporting "much improved" results.

The article also discusses the necessity of precision in injection techniques. The authors advocate for supraperiosteal injections at specific anatomical landmarks, namely the paragonion and pogonion, using a sharp needle to achieve the desired aesthetic morphology. While this method may enhance accuracy, the authors caution that it could also lead to increased trauma and bruising, highlighting a trade-off between precision and patient comfort.

The article "Chin Reduction Made Simpler" by (N.M. Shah Mardan et al., 2023) presents an innovative approach to chin reduction that aims to simplify the surgical procedure while ensuring patient satisfaction and safety. The authors highlight that traditional methods for correcting macrogenia, such as osteotomy, can be lengthy and complex, leading to longer recovery times and increased surgical risks. In contrast, the technique proposed in this article utilizes a 5-mm cutting burr, which allows for a less invasive and more efficient reduction of an overprojecting chin.

The case study presented involves a 42-year-old woman who underwent a combined procedure of chin reduction and rhinoplasty. The authors detail the surgical process, which included a 3-cm incision made on the submental crease to access the bone, followed by the shaving off of the gnathion with the cutting burr. The use of bone wax and reapproximation of the mentalis muscle is particularly

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

noteworthy, as it addresses the potential for chin ptosis post-surgery, a common concern in chin reduction procedures.

The article effectively critiques existing techniques by emphasizing the advantages of their proposed method, including reduced surgical time and complexity. The authors argue that their approach not only streamlines the process but also minimizes the risks associated with more invasive techniques like osteotomy. This is a significant contribution to the field of cosmetic surgery, particularly for practitioners seeking efficient solutions for chin augmentation and reduction.

In the article "Coronal-splitting Reduction Genioplasty Combined with Bilateral Osteotomies of the Mandibular Inferior Border for Macrogenia," (Hirohi, 2024) presents a novel surgical technique aimed at addressing the aesthetic challenges posed by anteroposterior macrogenia. The author emphasizes the significant role of the chin in overall facial aesthetics and critiques traditional methods, such as setback genioplasty and pogonion burring, which often yield suboptimal outcomes due to the limited responsiveness of soft tissues.

(Hirohi, 2024)'s introduction of coronal-splitting reduction genioplasty marks a pivotal advancement in chin augmentation techniques. This method draws inspiration from alloplastic chin augmentation techniques typically utilized for microgenia, incorporating an alloplastic implant-shaped bone fragment that offers enhanced removal capabilities. The rationale behind this innovation stems from the need to achieve more predictable and satisfactory surgical results for patients suffering from macrogenia.

The article critically evaluates the limitations of previous approaches, noting that while setback genioplasty can effectively reposition the chin, it may not adequately address the underlying soft tissue dynamics that influence aesthetic outcomes. (Hirohi, 2024)'s technique aims to mitigate these issues by allowing for greater control over both bone and soft tissue adjustments, thereby enhancing the overall aesthetic result. The integration of bilateral osteotomies of the mandibular inferior border further supports the comprehensive approach to correcting macrogenia.

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

CONCLUSION

The literature on chin augmentation techniques encompasses a variety of surgical and nonsurgical methods, each presenting distinct advantages and challenges. A well-proportioned chin is deemed essential for facial harmony, and numerous studies have explored various approaches to achieve this goal.

Osseous genioplasty is highlighted as a primary surgical intervention for chin abnormalities, with significant aesthetic outcomes noted in a case series that underscores its effectiveness in enhancing facial contour (N. Deshpande & V. Munoli, 2011). This technique involves modifying the skeletal structure, thus addressing both aesthetic and functional concerns. However, the literature also points to the potential complications associated with this method, necessitating a thorough understanding of patient-specific factors to optimize results (Khan et al., 2021).

Synthetic implants have emerged as a less invasive alternative to genioplasty, offering distinct advantages such as reduced surgical morbidity. However, they are not without risks, including mental nerve injury and implant migration (Wever et al., 2013). The importance of careful surgical planning is emphasized to mitigate these complications.

The integration of advanced technologies, such as three-dimensional (3D) imaging and printing, has revolutionized chin augmentation techniques. Studies advocate for the use of 3D imaging to enhance preoperative planning and surgical precision, thereby improving aesthetic outcomes [ref: 7b64f27d-9cc9-47c1-b91e-ad98dbc62926; ref: b45f1f81-b527-470d-abad-794dd9bd71c9]. These innovations allow for more tailored surgical approaches, addressing the unique anatomical features of each patient.

Nonsurgical methods, such as hyaluronic acid (HA) injections, provide a quick alternative for patients seeking minor enhancements without the need for invasive procedures (Chen et al., 2022). Although effective, these techniques require precision in application due to the complexity of the chin's morphology.

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

Innovative techniques, such as coronal-splitting reduction genioplasty, aim to improve aesthetic outcomes for patients with macrogenia by enhancing control over both bone and soft tissue adjustments (Hirohi, 2024). This method seeks to address the limitations of traditional approaches, promising more satisfactory results.

In conclusion, the literature reflects a dynamic evolution in chin augmentation techniques, marked by a blend of traditional surgical methods and contemporary technological advancements. The ongoing exploration of these techniques emphasizes the importance of individualized approaches to achieve optimal aesthetic results in facial plastic surgery.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- Disclosure Statement : The authors have no conflicts of Interest to declare
- Funding Sources : None
- Acknowledgements : -
- Author Contribution : All authors discussed and contributed the final content for journal submission and publication

REFERENCES

1. N. Deshpande, S. & V. Munoli, A., 2011. Osseous genioplasty: A case series. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
2. Wever, I., Hwang, S., Choroomi, S., & Mooney, W., 2013. Delayed Mental Nerve Neuralgia following Chin Augmentation. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
3. Jae Jegal, J., Joo Kang, S., Woo Kim, J., & Sun, H., 2013. The Utility of a Three-Dimensional Approach with T-Shaped Osteotomy in Osseous Genioplasty. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
4. Oth, O., Durieux, V., Orellana, M. F., & Glineur, R., 2020. Genioplasty with surgical guide using 3D-printing technology: A systematic review. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

5. Khan, M., Sattar, N., & Erkin, M., 2021. Postoperative Complications in Genioplasty and Their Association with Age, Gender, and Type of Genioplasty. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
6. Chen, B., Ma, L., & Wang, J., 2022. Chin Augmentation With Hyaluronic Acid: An Injection Technique Based on Anatomical Morphology. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
7. N.M. Shah Mardan, Q., Almonaie, S., A. Al Qurashi, A., & A. Mrad, M., 2023. Chin Reduction Made Simpler. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
8. Hirohi, T., 2024. Coronal-splitting Reduction Genioplasty Combined with Bilateral Osteotomies of the Mandibular Inferior Border for Macrogenia. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Plastic Surgery And Aesthetic Medicine
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved