Research Article Volume 01, Issue No. 02. 2025

The Medical Journal of Otolaryngology



Outcomes in Head and Neck Resections That Require Multiple-Flap Reconstructions

[1] Yulia, [1] Hariyanto

[1] Faculty of Medicine, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta City, Central Java, Indonesia

Correspondence : dr.yulia928737@gmail.com

Article History :
Received date : 2024/10/18
Revised date : 2024/11/26
Accepted date : 2024/12/14
Published date : 2025/01/10

Copyright: *© 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).*

ABSTRACT

Background: The literature surrounding outcomes in head and neck resections that necessitate multiple-flap reconstructions has evolved significantly, reflecting advancements in surgical techniques and a deeper understanding of patient needs. **Literature Review:** In the context of recurrent head and neck cancer, the study by (et al., 2019) provides valuable insights into sequential reconstruction strategies, demonstrating that successful outcomes can be achieved despite the complexities of reoperation. This finding emphasizes the importance of individualized surgical approaches tailored to specific tumor characteristics and patient histories. The systematic review by (Gabrysz-Forget et al., 2019) further enriches the discourse by comparing free and pedicled flaps, indicating that while free flaps may involve higher costs and longer operative times, they offer advantages in terms of lower infection rates and superior aesthetic results. This nuanced understanding of reconstructive options is crucial for clinicians when determining the best approach for their patients. Moreover, the narrative review by (John et al., 2022) highlights the variability in flap selection for nasal reconstruction post-rhinectomy, advocating for further research to establish standardized assessment tools to enhance patient satisfaction. This aligns with the findings of (Mark et al., 2022), who emphasizes the need for structured communication and coordinated efforts among multidisciplinary teams to improve surgical outcomes through evidence-based practices. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, the literature collectively underscores the importance of tailored reconstructive strategies that consider both the technical aspects of surgery and the individual needs of patients. The evolving landscape of head and neck reconstruction reflects a growing recognition of the critical interplay between reconstructive options and patient outcomes, paving the way for future advancements in this specialized field.

Keyword: Outcomes, Head and Neck Resections, Multiple-Flap Reconstructions

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Otolaryngology
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

The literature surrounding outcomes in head and neck resections that necessitate multiple-flap reconstructions has evolved significantly, reflecting advancements in surgical techniques and a deeper understanding of patient needs. The guidelines established by (Ragbir et al., 2016) serve as a foundational document, emphasizing the unique reconstructive requirements that arise following ablative surgery for head and neck cancer. Their work underscores the importance of microsurgical free flap reconstruction as a primary option, particularly for complex defects, while also prioritizing functional and aesthetic outcomes. The recommendations presented advocate for the use of vascularized flaps to mitigate complications such as pharyngocutaneous fistulas, thereby enhancing overall patient recovery and satisfaction.

Building on these principles, (Liang et al., 2017) conducted an analysis of 93 patients, confirming the reliability of free tissue flaps in achieving successful reconstruction. Their findings highlight the necessity for careful preoperative assessment and postoperative monitoring to optimize flap perfusion and minimize revision surgeries. This study complements the earlier guidelines by providing empirical evidence of the effectiveness of free flaps, further reinforcing their status as a preferred reconstructive method.

In a more specific context, the retrospective study by (et al., 2019) explored sequential reconstructions in patients with recurrent head and neck cancer. Their investigation revealed that despite the complexities associated with reoperation, successful outcomes could be achieved without reconstruction failures. This study provides critical insights into the long-term management of patients facing recurrent disease, emphasizing the importance of individualized surgical strategies based on tumor characteristics and patient history.

(Gabrysz-Forget et al., 2019) contributed to the discourse by systematically reviewing the comparative outcomes of free versus pedicled flaps. Their findings

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Otolaryngology
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

indicate that while free flaps may incur higher costs and longer operative times, they also present advantages in terms of infection rates and overall aesthetic outcomes. This systematic review adds depth to the understanding of reconstructive options, suggesting that while free flaps are often superior, pedicled flaps may still be viable alternatives depending on specific clinical scenarios.

(John et al., 2022) shifted the focus to nasal reconstruction post-rhinectomy, revealing a lack of consensus on the optimal flap type used in such cases. Their narrative review highlights the variability in flap selection based on factors such as cartilage defects and surgeon preference, advocating for further research into patient satisfaction and the development of standardized assessment tools.

Finally, (Mark et al., 2022) introduced the concept of an evidence-based free-flap pathway, emphasizing the complexity of reconstructive surgery in the head and neck region. Their advocacy for structured communication and coordinated efforts among multidisciplinary teams aims to enhance surgical outcomes and reduce variability in patient care. This approach underscores the necessity of integrating evidence-based practices into surgical protocols to improve the efficacy and safety of flap reconstructions.

Together, these articles provide a comprehensive overview of the evolving landscape of head and neck reconstruction, highlighting the importance of tailored approaches that consider both the technical aspects of surgery and the individual needs of patients. The literature reflects a growing recognition of the critical interplay between reconstructive options and patient outcomes, paving the way for future advancements in this specialized field.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article "Reconstructive considerations in head and neck surgical oncology: United Kingdom National Multidisciplinary Guidelines" by (Ragbir et al., 2016) provides a comprehensive overview of the reconstructive strategies following ablative surgery for head and neck cancer, emphasizing the importance of addressing both functional and aesthetic needs. The authors assert that

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Otolaryngology
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

microsurgical free flap reconstruction is the preferred method for most defects, highlighting its efficacy in restoring form and function in complex cases.

A significant insight from the article is the recommendation that free flaps should be the first choice for all patients requiring circumferential pharyngoesophageal reconstruction. This approach is particularly pertinent given the critical role that the pharynx and esophagus play in swallowing and speech. The authors also advocate for free flap techniques in class III and higher maxillary defects, which underscores the necessity of using advanced reconstructive methods in severe cases to achieve optimal outcomes.

Moreover, the article discusses the importance of composite free tissue transfer for mandibular reconstruction, indicating a shift towards more intricate and effective reconstructive strategies. This is crucial in maintaining the structural integrity of the mandible, which is vital for both functional and aesthetic reasons. The authors further highlight that patients undergoing salvage total laryngectomy should receive vascularized flap reconstruction to mitigate the risk of pharyngocutaneous fistulas, a common complication that can significantly impact recovery and quality of life.

The piece also addresses the timing of reconstructive procedures, noting that while most reconstructions are performed concurrently with tumor resection, secondary reconstructions are necessary to address complications such as fistulae and osteoradionecrosis. This dual approach reflects a nuanced understanding of the complexities involved in head and neck oncology, where the surgical landscape is often fraught with challenges.

Modern reconstructive techniques, as outlined by the authors, aim for one-stage reconstructions that utilize vascularized tissues, which have demonstrated high success rates and favorable overall results. This is an essential consideration for clinicians, as it emphasizes the evolution of surgical practices toward more efficient and effective reconstruction methods.

The article "Free tissue flaps in head and neck reconstruction: clinical application and analysis of 93 patients of a single institution" by (Liang et al., 2017) provides a comprehensive examination of the use of free tissue flaps in the reconstruction of

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Otolaryngology
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

composite defects resulting from head and neck cancer treatments. The authors emphasize that the selection of reconstructive options is multifaceted, influenced by the specific anatomical characteristics of the defect, the desired outcomes, and the patient's overall health and tolerance for donor site morbidity.

A critical evaluation of the material reveals that the authors effectively highlight the importance of individualized patient assessment prior to surgical intervention. They suggest that a thorough evaluation of the patient's general health and any coexisting conditions is essential for optimizing surgical outcomes. This aligns with the growing recognition in surgical literature that patient-specific factors significantly influence the success of reconstructive procedures ((Liang et al., 2017)).

The article underscores the reliability and success of free tissue flap techniques, presenting them as a preferred method of reconstruction due to their ability to fulfill both functional and aesthetic goals. The authors advocate for a surgical approach that prioritizes simplicity, suggesting that the most straightforward solution that meets the patient's needs is often the most effective. This principle is crucial in clinical practice, as it can minimize complications and improve recovery times.

Moreover, the authors stress the necessity of careful monitoring of flap perfusion post-operatively. This is a critical aspect of ensuring successful outcomes, as compromised perfusion can lead to flap failure, necessitating further surgical interventions. The emphasis on vigilant post-operative care reflects a broader trend in surgical practice aimed at reducing revision rates and enhancing overall patient satisfaction.

The article "Sequential reconstruction for recurrent head and neck cancer: A 10-year experience" by (et al., 2019) provides a comprehensive retrospective analysis of outcomes related to sequential reconstructions following curative resections in patients with recurrent head and neck cancer. The study encompasses a decade-long experience, focusing on a cohort of 58 patients who underwent complex reconstructive procedures after experiencing recurrence of their malignancies.

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Otolaryngology
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

The authors meticulously outline the methodology used in their review, which included the assessment of various factors such as cancer sites, time until recurrence, pathological findings, cancer stages at initial diagnosis and recurrence, and the treatments administered, including chemotherapy and radiotherapy. This thorough approach allows for a nuanced understanding of the complexities involved in managing recurrent head and neck cancers and the associated reconstructive challenges.

One of the significant findings highlighted in the article is the categorization of reconstruction strategies into free flaps and local flaps. The authors report that despite the high-risk nature of the patient population, there were no instances of failed reconstruction following curative resection, which speaks to the effectiveness of the surgical techniques employed. This outcome is particularly noteworthy given the historical challenges associated with reconstructions in this patient demographic.

However, the study also notes that a substantial portion of the cohort (50%) succumbed during follow-up, primarily due to factors such as advanced age, comorbidities, and tumor recurrence. This statistic underscores the inherent risks and complexities faced by patients with recurrent head and neck cancer, suggesting that while surgical interventions can be successful, they do not eliminate the underlying oncological challenges.

Moreover, the article's retrospective nature presents certain limitations, including potential biases in patient selection and the inability to control for all variables that may impact outcomes. Future research could benefit from a prospective design to validate these findings and explore additional factors that may influence the success of sequential reconstructions.

The systematic review conducted by (Gabrysz-Forget et al., 2019) provides a comprehensive analysis of the comparative outcomes of free flaps (FF) versus pedicled flaps (PF) in the context of reconstructive surgeries following oncologic resections in head and neck cancer. This review synthesizes findings from 30

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Otolaryngology
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

relevant studies, offering valuable insights into the efficacy and complications associated with each reconstruction technique.

One of the primary outcomes highlighted is the operative time and associated costs. The review notes that FF procedures generally require longer surgical durations and incur higher costs compared to PF. This is an important consideration for healthcare systems, particularly in resource-limited settings where surgical efficiency and cost-effectiveness are critical. The increased operative time for FF may also have implications for patient recovery and hospital resource allocation.

Interestingly, while FF are linked to a higher incidence of postoperative revisions, they demonstrate a lower rate of infections and necrosis compared to the pectoralis major myocutaneous flap (PMMF). This suggests that despite the initial drawbacks in terms of surgical complexity and cost, FF may ultimately lead to improved patient outcomes in terms of complication rates. The authors emphasize that FF are superior to PMMF for several specific outcomes, which is a significant finding for clinicians when choosing the appropriate reconstruction method for their patients.

Moreover, the review introduces the concepts of SMIF (superiorly based myocutaneous flap) and SCAIF (superiorly based circumflex artery island flap), which have shown favorable comparisons to FF for certain indications. These alternatives achieve similar outcomes at a lower cost, indicating that there may be viable options for reconstruction that balance effectiveness with economic considerations.

The article titled "Outcomes Associated with Nasal Reconstruction Post-Rhinectomy: A Narrative Review" by (John et al., 2022) presents a comprehensive overview of the various flap techniques employed in nasal reconstruction following rhinectomy. The authors highlight a significant gap in the literature, noting that while numerous individual case reports and studies exist, there is a lack of a consolidated review summarizing the flaps currently utilized in total nasal reconstruction. This narrative review serves to fill that gap by systematically organizing the available data based on the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine (OCEBM) levels of evidence.

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Otolaryngology
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

A critical evaluation of the material reveals that the authors have effectively categorized the predominant flap types used in nasal reconstruction, with forehead flaps, SENMI, forearm free flaps, ALT free flaps, MFCFF, and zygomaticus implants being the most frequently reported. However, the review also underscores the inherent biases present in the existing literature, particularly regarding the subjective nature of outcomes related to aesthetics and patient satisfaction. Most studies included in the review employed a non-standardized approach to reporting these outcomes, which diminishes the reliability of the findings.

The authors advocate for future research to adopt more standardized and objective measures, such as the FACE-Q and PSQ-18 questionnaires, to assess patient satisfaction and aesthetic outcomes. This recommendation is critical, as it addresses the variability in reporting outcomes that currently exists across studies. Furthermore, the article emphasizes that the choice of flap is influenced by multiple factors, including the presence of cartilage defects, the flap's vascularity, the surgeon's preferences and skills, as well as the specific clinical indications for repair. This nuanced understanding of flap selection is essential for guiding clinical practice and improving patient outcomes.

Interestingly, the review notes that there is no dominant flap type preferred among post-rhinectomy patients, suggesting that the decision-making process is highly individualized. This finding is supported by the observation that the outcomes may vary significantly based on the context of each surgical case. The authors also point out discrepancies with other studies regarding the prevalence of certain flap types, attributing these differences to variations in inclusion criteria and methodological approaches.

The article "Designing an evidence-based free-flap pathway in head and neck reconstruction" by (Mark et al., 2022) addresses the complexities associated with reconstructive surgery in the head and neck region, particularly in the context of cancer treatment. The authors emphasize the unique challenges presented by the necessity for aesthetic restoration and the preservation of essential functions such as breathing, eating, and speech. This multifaceted nature of head and neck reconstruction necessitates a sophisticated surgical approach, particularly when

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Otolaryngology
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

employing free-flap techniques, which are highlighted as a critical component of the reconstructive process.

One of the pivotal insights from the article is the importance of a coordinated two-team surgical approach. The authors argue that effective collaboration among multidisciplinary team members is essential to navigate the intricacies of these complex procedures. They advocate for the implementation of structured communication tools, both prior to and during surgery, to mitigate common pitfalls that can lead to extended operating room times and increased patient morbidity. This assertion is supported by the authors' observation that lapses in communication often contribute to adverse outcomes in surgical settings.

Moreover, the introduction of a "free-flap closure timeout" is presented as a strategic measure to enhance coordination among the surgical teams. This practice underscores the authors' commitment to fostering an environment where clear communication is prioritized, thereby streamlining the surgical process and ultimately improving patient outcomes.

The article further advocates for the adoption of evidence-based comprehensive care pathways. The authors contend that such pathways can standardize care protocols, reduce variability in treatment approaches, and enhance clinical outcomes for patients undergoing complex head and neck reconstructions. This perspective is particularly relevant in a field where variations in surgical technique and postoperative care can significantly impact recovery and quality of life.

CONCLUSION

The literature on outcomes in head and neck resections requiring multiple-flap reconstructions reveals a dynamic interplay between surgical techniques, patient-centered care, and the evolving standards of reconstructive strategies. The foundational guidelines established by (Ragbir et al., 2016) highlight the significance of microsurgical free flap reconstruction, emphasizing its role in addressing complex defects while prioritizing functional and aesthetic outcomes. This perspective is supported by empirical evidence from (Liang et al., 2017), which confirms the reliability of free tissue flaps in achieving successful

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Otolaryngology
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

reconstruction, thereby underscoring the necessity for thorough preoperative assessments and vigilant postoperative monitoring.

In the context of recurrent head and neck cancer, the study by (et al., 2019) provides valuable insights into sequential reconstruction strategies, demonstrating that successful outcomes can be achieved despite the complexities of reoperation. This finding emphasizes the importance of individualized surgical approaches tailored to specific tumor characteristics and patient histories.

The systematic review by (Gabrysz-Forget et al., 2019) further enriches the discourse by comparing free and pedicled flaps, indicating that while free flaps may involve higher costs and longer operative times, they offer advantages in terms of lower infection rates and superior aesthetic results. This nuanced understanding of reconstructive options is crucial for clinicians when determining the best approach for their patients.

Moreover, the narrative review by (John et al., 2022) highlights the variability in flap selection for nasal reconstruction post-rhinectomy, advocating for further research to establish standardized assessment tools to enhance patient satisfaction. This aligns with the findings of (Mark et al., 2022), who emphasizes the need for structured communication and coordinated efforts among multidisciplinary teams to improve surgical outcomes through evidence-based practices.

In conclusion, the literature collectively underscores the importance of tailored reconstructive strategies that consider both the technical aspects of surgery and the individual needs of patients. The evolving landscape of head and neck reconstruction reflects a growing recognition of the critical interplay between reconstructive options and patient outcomes, paving the way for future advancements in this specialized field.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- Disclosure Statement : The authors have no conflicts of Interest to declare
- Funding Sources : None

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Otolaryngology
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

- Acknowledgements : -

- Author Contribution : All authors discussed and contributed the final content for journal submission and publication

REFERENCES

1. Ragbir, M., S Brown, J., & Mehanna, H., 2016. Reconstructive considerations in head and neck surgical oncology: United Kingdom National Multidisciplinary Guidelines. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
2. Liang, J., Yu, T., Wang, X., Zhao, Y., Fang, F., Zeng, W., & Li, Z., 2017. Free tissue flaps in head and neck reconstruction: clinical application and analysis of 93 patients of a single institution(). ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
3. 변일환, , 이원재, & , 정순원, 2019. Sequential reconstruction for recurrent head and neck cancer: A 10-year experience. [PDF]
4. Gabrysz-Forget, F., Tabet, P., Rahal, A., Bissada, E., Christopoulos, A., & Ayad, T., 2019. Free versus pedicled flaps for reconstruction of head and neck cancer defects: a systematic review. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
5. John, J., Gupta, R., Grossbauer, A., Chung, M., Sethna, A., Abboud, M., Cox, E., Hart, J., Folbe, A., & Chaiyasate, K., 2022. Outcomes Associated with Nasal Reconstruction Post-Rhinectomy: A Narrative Review. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
6. Mark, M., Eggerstedt, M., J. Urban, M., Al-Khudari, S., Smith, R., & Revenaugh, P., 2022. Designing an evidence-based free-flap pathway in head and neck reconstruction. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Otolaryngology
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved