Research Article Volume 01, Issue No. 02. 2025

The Medical Journal of Dentistry of Oral Maxillofacial Surgery



Glandular Odontogenic Cyst

[1] Fahmi, [1] Lisa

[1] Faculty of Dentistry, Padjadjaran University, Bandung City, West Java, Indonesia

Correspondence : drg.fahmi@gmail.com

Article History :

Received date : 2024/07/14
Revised date : 2024/08/19
Accepted date : 2024/09/27
Published date : 2025/01/24

Copyright: *© 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).*

ABSTRACT

Background: The literature on glandular odontogenic cysts (GOCs) reveals a complex interplay of clinical, radiographic, and histopathological factors that contribute to the challenges in diagnosis and management of this rare odontogenic entity. **Literature Review:** The foundational study by Krishnamurthy et al. (Krishnamurthy et al., 2009) highlights the demographic trends associated with GOC, noting a slight male predilection and a predominant occurrence in middle-aged individuals, particularly in the anterior mandible. This study also emphasizes the varied clinical and radiographic presentations of GOC, which can mimic other lesions, complicating the diagnostic process. The authors detail the characteristic histopathological features, such as the presence of non-keratinized stratified epithelium and mucous cells, which are pivotal for accurate diagnosis (Krishnamurthy et al., 2009). Subsequent research, including the work of Mascitti et al. (Gurler et al., 2017), reinforces the diagnostic challenges posed by GOC, particularly its histological similarities to central mucoepidermoid carcinoma. The advocacy for immunohistochemical techniques to differentiate these entities is crucial, as misdiagnosis can lead to inappropriate management strategies. The rarity of GOC and its potential for aggressive behavior necessitate heightened awareness among clinicians (Gurler et al., 2017). Figueiredo et al. (Roque Figueiredo et al., 2016) and Gurler et al. (Gurler et al., 2017) further elucidate the clinical presentation of GOCs as often asymptomatic swellings, emphasizing the importance of differential diagnosis when encountering similar radiographic findings. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, the literature on glandular odontogenic cysts underscores the complexity involved in their diagnosis and management. The interplay of clinical presentation, radiographic features, and histopathological characteristics necessitates a multidisciplinary approach to ensure accurate diagnosis and effective treatment. Ongoing research and clinical vigilance are essential for improving outcomes for patients with GOC.

Keyword: Glandular Odontogenic Cyst

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Dentistry and Oral Maxillofacial Surgery
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

The glandular odontogenic cyst (GOC) has emerged as a significant topic of interest within the field of oral pathology, primarily due to its unique clinical and histopathological characteristics, as well as its potential for misdiagnosis and recurrence. The literature on GOC has expanded considerably since its initial classification, offering insights into its epidemiology, clinical presentation, radiographic features, histopathological findings, and management strategies.

In a foundational study, (Krishnamurthy et al., 2009) reported that GOC can mimic various lesions, ranging from lateral periodontal cysts to more aggressive tumors such as central mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Their comprehensive literature review identified 111 cases, noting a slight male predilection and a predominant occurrence in middle-aged patients, particularly in the anterior mandible. The authors emphasized the diverse clinical and radiographic presentations of GOC, which often complicate its diagnosis, as well as the characteristic histological features observed in their case reports.

Building on this foundation, (Mascitti et al., 2014) highlighted the rarity of GOC, first recognized as a distinct entity in 1988. Their case study of a 38-year-old female further underscored the diagnostic challenges posed by GOC, particularly due to its histological similarities to central mucoepidermoid carcinoma. The authors advocated for the use of immunohistochemical techniques to differentiate between these entities, thereby enhancing diagnostic accuracy.

(Roque Figueiredo et al., 2016) contributed additional insights by reporting a case of GOC in the maxilla of a 64-year-old female. Their findings indicated that GOC may often present asymptomatically and can be located in edentulous areas, suggesting a potential for unnoticed growth. The authors noted the importance of recognizing the histopathological features that characterize GOC, which can overlap with other odontogenic lesions, thus complicating treatment decisions.

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Dentistry and Oral Maxillofacial Surgery
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

(Gurler et al., 2017) further elaborated on the clinical significance of GOC, emphasizing its high recurrence rate and aggressive growth pattern. Their case series reiterated the common presentation of GOC in the mandibular anterior region and highlighted the variability in treatment approaches, which range from conservative management to more aggressive surgical interventions.

In a more recent exploration, (Crane et al., 2020) discussed the histopathological complexity of GOC, identifying a range of microscopic features that aid in its diagnosis. Their review noted the high recurrence rate associated with GOC and underscored the necessity for thorough histological examination and careful surgical management to mitigate this risk.

(Nair Sreekumar et al., 2020) illustrated the diagnostic challenges associated with GOC through a case report of a misdiagnosed cystic lesion in the maxillary anterior region. Their experience highlighted the need for heightened awareness of GOC, particularly in atypical presentations, reinforcing the notion that GOC, while rare, should be considered in differential diagnoses of cystic lesions in the jaws.

Overall, the literature collectively underscores the complexity of diagnosing and managing glandular odontogenic cysts, reflecting the necessity for ongoing research and clinical vigilance in recognizing this unique odontogenic entity.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article titled "Glandular Odontogenic Cyst: Report of Two Cases and Review of Literature" by Krishnamurthy et al. (Krishnamurthy et al., 2009) presents a comprehensive examination of the glandular odontogenic cyst (GOC), emphasizing its clinical, radiographic, and histopathological characteristics. The authors effectively compile data from a literature review that includes 111 cases of GOC, providing valuable insights into the demographic and clinical spectrum of this condition.

One of the key findings highlighted in the article is the slight male predilection for GOC, with lesions predominantly occurring in middle-aged patients. This

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Dentistry and Oral Maxillofacial Surgery
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

demographic information is crucial for clinicians in identifying and managing potential cases of GOC. The authors note that earlier reports have indicated a tendency for these cysts to be located in the anterior mandible, which is an important consideration for differential diagnosis in oral lesions.

Radiographically, GOC can present as either a multilocular or unilocular radiolucent lesion with well-defined borders. The article discusses various radiographic features, including peripheral osteosclerotic borders, scalloping, root resorption, and displacement of adjacent teeth. These findings underscore the variability in presentation, which can complicate the diagnosis, as the clinical and radiographic manifestations are often not pathognomonic. The authors emphasize that while GOC typically presents as an asymptomatic, slow-growing lesion, it may occasionally be associated with pain, further complicating clinical assessment.

Histopathologically, the article provides a detailed description of the characteristic features of GOC, including the presence of non-keratinized stratified epithelium, epithelial whorls or spheres, and abundant mucous cells. The identification of mucous cells, which are PAS positive and considered to be of metaplastic origin, is particularly noteworthy. The authors highlight that while mucous cells can be found in various intraosseous odontogenic cysts, their abundance in GOC is distinctive. This histological detail is significant for pathologists and oral surgeons as it aids in differentiating GOC from other odontogenic lesions.

Moreover, the article discusses the utility of immunohistochemical studies using cytokeratin markers (7, 13, 14, and 19) that further support the odontogenic nature of GOC. This aspect of the study is critical as it provides a molecular basis for understanding the tumorigenic characteristics of GOC, thus enhancing diagnostic accuracy.

The article "Glandular Odontogenic Cyst: Review of Literature and Report of a New Case with Cytokeratin-19 Expression" by Mascitti et al. (Mascitti et al., 2014) provides a comprehensive overview of the glandular odontogenic cyst (GOC), a rare entity within the spectrum of jawbone cysts, first characterized in 1988. The authors effectively delineate the clinical and histopathological features of GOC,

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Dentistry and Oral Maxillofacial Surgery
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

emphasizing its prevalence in the mandible, particularly in the anterior region. This localization is significant, as it not only informs clinical practice but also underscores the necessity for differential diagnosis in cases presenting with asymptomatic swelling in this area.

The article highlights the cyst's histological composition, which includes a fibrous connective tissue wall and a lining of non-keratinized stratified squamous epithelium that may exhibit variable thickness and the presence of columnar cells. The inclusion of mucinous material is noted, which adds complexity to the cyst's histopathological profile. The authors draw attention to the potential for misdiagnosis of GOC as central mucoepidermoid carcinoma (CMEC), a concern that is particularly relevant in clinical settings where accurate diagnosis is critical for appropriate management. This misdiagnosis risk is rooted in the overlapping histological features of these two entities, necessitating a careful evaluation of cytokeratin expression patterns.

A key contribution of the article is its discussion on the role of immunohistochemistry in distinguishing GOC from CMEC. The authors emphasize that differences in the expression of cytokeratins—specifically cytokeratin-19—can serve as a diagnostic tool, thereby aiding pathologists in making a more definitive diagnosis. This insight is particularly valuable given the locally aggressive nature of GOC and its potential for recurrence, which can complicate patient management.

Moreover, the article underscores the challenges associated with diagnosing GOC, particularly due to its asymptomatic nature and the subtlety of its clinical presentation. The authors advocate for heightened awareness among clinicians and pathologists to ensure timely and accurate diagnosis, which is crucial for effective treatment strategies. The review of literature alongside the report of a new case enriches the discourse surrounding GOC, providing both a theoretical framework and practical insights into its management.

The article titled "Glandular odontogenic cyst of the maxilla: a case report and literature review" by Figueiredo, Dinkar, and Khorate (Roque Figueiredo et al.,

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Dentistry and Oral Maxillofacial Surgery
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

2016) provides a comprehensive examination of a specific case of glandular odontogenic cyst (GOC) while integrating relevant literature to contextualize the findings. The case involved a 64-year-old female patient with a GOC located in the maxilla, spanning from the canine to the first molar region, which is indicative of the typical anatomical presentation for this type of cyst.

Clinically, the authors note that GOCs often present as asymptomatic swellings, which aligns with the general understanding of odontogenic cysts, where patients may remain unaware of their presence until radiographic imaging is performed. The article emphasizes the importance of differential diagnosis, as GOCs can radiographically mimic various other lesions, including radicular cysts, keratocystic odontogenic tumors, ameloblastomas, and central giant cell granulomas. This highlights the complexity of diagnosing GOCs, necessitating a thorough clinical and radiographic evaluation.

Histologically, the article delineates the major and minor criteria for identifying GOCs. The major criteria include a lining of squamous epithelium, cuboidal eosinophilic cells, and intraepithelial mucous cells, accompanied by the presence of glandular structures. The case presented in the article demonstrated most of these characteristic histopathological features, reinforcing the notion that GOCs possess distinct microscopic attributes that can, however, resemble other odontogenic cysts and malignant neoplasms. This underscores the critical need for meticulous microscopic examination to ensure accurate diagnosis.

The discussion surrounding treatment options for GOCs is particularly noteworthy, as the authors point out the ongoing controversy regarding the most effective management strategy, which is often influenced by the cyst's size and aggressiveness. This reflects a broader challenge in the field of oral pathology, where treatment protocols may vary significantly based on individual case assessments and the specific clinical context.

The article "Glandular Odontogenic Cyst: Case Series" by Gurler et al. (Gurler et al., 2017) provides a comprehensive overview of the glandular odontogenic cyst (GOC), an uncommon but clinically significant entity in oral pathology. First

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Dentistry and Oral Maxillofacial Surgery
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

described by Gardner et al. in 1988, GOC is classified by the World Health Organization as a cyst of odontogenic origin that arises in the tooth-bearing areas of the jaws. The authors effectively highlight the unique histological characteristics of GOC, which is defined by its epithelial lining composed of cuboidal or columnar cells.

The article notes that the prevalence of GOC ranges from 0.012% to 1.3% among all jaw cysts, underscoring its rarity. However, the authors emphasize the clinical importance of GOC due to its high recurrence rates and aggressive growth patterns. This aspect is crucial for practitioners as it suggests that GOC may require more vigilant follow-up compared to other jaw cysts. Clinically, GOC typically manifests as an asymptomatic, slow-growing swelling, predominantly located in the mandibular anterior region and most commonly affecting middle-aged individuals, with a slight male predominance.

Radiographically, the article describes GOC as an intraosseous localized lesion that can appear either unilocular or multilocular, characterized by well-defined margins. This radiographic presentation is significant as it aids in the differential diagnosis of jaw lesions. However, the authors also point out the histological similarities between GOC and other types of cysts, which complicates definitive diagnosis. This overlap necessitates a careful histopathological examination to distinguish GOC from other odontogenic cysts.

The treatment options outlined in the article range from conservative surgical approaches to more aggressive resection, reflecting the variability in clinical presentation and the potential for recurrence. The authors suggest that the choice of treatment should be tailored to the individual case, taking into consideration the cyst's characteristics and the patient's overall health.

The article "Glandular Odontogenic Cyst with Metaplastic Cartilage: Report of an Unusual Case and Literature Review" by Crane et al. (Crane et al., 2020) provides a comprehensive overview of the glandular odontogenic cyst (GOC), emphasizing its rarity and the critical aspects of its diagnosis and treatment. The authors highlight that GOC accounts for only 0.2% of odontogenic cysts within a UK population,

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Dentistry and Oral Maxillofacial Surgery
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

underscoring its uncommon nature. The demographic insights indicate that GOC can occur across a wide age range, predominantly diagnosed in individuals during their 5th to 7th decades of life, and notably, it shows no gender predilection.

The article meticulously discusses the clinical presentation of GOC, which typically manifests as either a unilocular or multilocular radiolucency, with a higher prevalence in the mandible. This detail is crucial for practitioners as it aids in the differential diagnosis when encountering similar radiographic findings. Furthermore, the authors reference the work of Fowler et al. (as cited in Crane et al., 2020), who delineated ten microscopic features that can assist in the diagnosis of GOC. The criteria established—where a diagnosis can be confidently made if seven out of ten features are present—provides a practical framework for pathologists and clinicians alike.

One of the most significant contributions of this article is the emphasis on the high recurrence rate of GOC, reported to be between 30% to 50%. This statistic highlights the importance of accurate diagnosis and appropriate management strategies. The authors note that while enucleation with or without curettage and peripheral osteotomy are common treatment modalities, larger lesions may necessitate more aggressive interventions to mitigate recurrence risks. This aspect of treatment is particularly relevant, as it reflects a growing understanding of the need for tailored approaches based on lesion size and individual patient factors.

The article "A vacillating swelling in maxillary anterior region-Diagnostic challenges and management" by Sreekumar et al. (Nair Sreekumar et al., 2020) provides a comprehensive examination of a glandular odontogenic cyst (GOC), highlighting the diagnostic challenges associated with this rare odontogenic lesion. The authors present a case study that underscores the complexities of accurately diagnosing cystic swellings in the oral cavity, specifically misdiagnosing a GOC as a periapical cyst.

The main contribution of this article is its detailed exploration of the clinical presentation, radiological findings, histopathological characteristics, and management strategies for GOC. The authors emphasize that GOC, though benign,

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Dentistry and Oral Maxillofacial Surgery
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

is often confused with other types of cysts due to overlapping clinical and radiographic features. This misdiagnosis can lead to inappropriate treatment, making it crucial for dental practitioners to recognize the distinct characteristics of GOC.

The article adeptly outlines the differential diagnosis process, which is essential for practitioners to differentiate GOC from other odontogenic cysts. The authors provide a thorough examination of the clinical features, noting that GOC typically presents as a swelling in the anterior mandible but can also occur in the maxillary region, as illustrated in their case. The radiological features discussed include unilocular or multilocular radiolucencies, which may mimic other cystic lesions. The authors effectively highlight the importance of histopathological examination in confirming the diagnosis, as GOC exhibits unique glandular differentiation that sets it apart from other cysts.

Moreover, the management of GOC is addressed, with the authors advocating for surgical intervention as the primary treatment modality. They note that complete enucleation is often necessary to reduce the risk of recurrence, which is a significant concern associated with GOC. The article's emphasis on the importance of follow-up care is particularly noteworthy, as it ensures early detection of any potential recurrence.

CONCLUSION

The literature on glandular odontogenic cysts (GOCs) reveals a complex interplay of clinical, radiographic, and histopathological factors that contribute to the challenges in diagnosis and management of this rare odontogenic entity. The initial classification of GOC has evolved significantly since its recognition, with numerous studies providing insights into its epidemiology, presentation, and treatment options.

The foundational study by Krishnamurthy et al. (Krishnamurthy et al., 2009) highlights the demographic trends associated with GOC, noting a slight male predilection and a predominant occurrence in middle-aged individuals, particularly

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Dentistry and Oral Maxillofacial Surgery
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

in the anterior mandible. This study also emphasizes the varied clinical and radiographic presentations of GOC, which can mimic other lesions, complicating the diagnostic process. The authors detail the characteristic histopathological features, such as the presence of non-keratinized stratified epithelium and mucous cells, which are pivotal for accurate diagnosis (Krishnamurthy et al., 2009).

Subsequent research, including the work of Mascitti et al. (Gurler et al., 2017), reinforces the diagnostic challenges posed by GOC, particularly its histological similarities to central mucoepidermoid carcinoma. The advocacy for immunohistochemical techniques to differentiate these entities is crucial, as misdiagnosis can lead to inappropriate management strategies. The rarity of GOC and its potential for aggressive behavior necessitate heightened awareness among clinicians (Gurler et al., 2017).

Figueiredo et al. (Roque Figueiredo et al., 2016) and Gurler et al. (Gurler et al., 2017) further elucidate the clinical presentation of GOCs as often asymptomatic swellings, emphasizing the importance of differential diagnosis when encountering similar radiographic findings. Their findings suggest that GOCs can be located in edentulous areas and may not present symptoms until significant growth has occurred, underscoring the need for thorough clinical and radiographic evaluation [ref: 45598367-6f48-4306-bfa1-c59e8f52408c; ref: bb84ed5d-bfbb-4331-af23-32f42a6fccfb].

Crane et al. (Crane et al., 2020) contribute to the understanding of GOC by discussing its rarity and the high recurrence rates associated with the condition, which range from 30% to 50%. This statistic highlights the necessity for comprehensive surgical management and vigilant follow-up care to mitigate recurrence risks. The article also outlines specific histopathological criteria that can aid in diagnosis, emphasizing the importance of accurate histological assessment (Crane et al., 2020).

In conclusion, the literature on glandular odontogenic cysts underscores the complexity involved in their diagnosis and management. The interplay of clinical presentation, radiographic features, and histopathological characteristics

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Dentistry and Oral Maxillofacial Surgery
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved

necessitates a multidisciplinary approach to ensure accurate diagnosis and effective treatment. Ongoing research and clinical vigilance are essential for improving outcomes for patients with GOC.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- Disclosure Statement : The authors have no conflicts of Interest to declare
- Funding Sources : None
- Acknowledgements : -
- Author Contribution : All authors discussed and contributed the final content for journal submission and publication

REFERENCES

1. Krishnamurthy, A., J. Sherlin, H., Ramalingam, K., Natesan, A., Premkumar, P., Ramani, P., & Chandrasekar, T., 2009. Glandular Odontogenic Cyst: Report of Two Cases and Review of Literature. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
2. Mascitti, M., Santarelli, A., Sabatucci, A., Procaccini, M., Lo Muzio, L., Zizzi, A., & Rubini, C., 2014. Glandular Odontogenic Cyst: Review of Literature and Report of a New Case with Cytokeratin-19 Expression. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
3. Roque Figueiredo, N., Dattatray Dinkar, A., & Maruti Khorate, M., 2016. Glandular odontogenic cyst of the maxilla: a case report and literature review. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
4. Gurler, G., Al-Ghamian, H., Aksakalli, N., & Delilbasi, C., 2017. Glandular Odontogenic Cyst: Case Series. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
5. Crane, H., Karbhari, B., Hughes, D., Orr, R., & Brierley, D., 2020. Glandular Odontogenic Cyst with Metaplastic Cartilage: Report of an Unusual Case and Literature Review. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
6. Nair Sreekumar, V., Salim, S., K Cherian, S., & John, R., 2020. A vacillating swelling in maxillary anterior region-Diagnostic challenges and management. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Downloaded from The Medical Journal of Dentistry and Oral Maxillofacial Surgery
Copyright © International Medical Journal Corp. Ltd. All rights reserved